UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/533,632 | 05/02/2005 | Tomoyasu Takase | 2005_0639A | 6323 | |
| | 7590 08/25/200 , LIND & PONACK, I | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1030 15th Stree Suite 400 East | | LE, HUYEN D | | | |
| Washington, DC 20005-1503 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2614 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 08/25/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/533,632 | TAKASE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| HUYEN D. LE | 2614 | |

| | THO TENT B. LE | |
|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | filed within two months of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially red | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendment canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | l be entered and an explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attached. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application in | condition for allowance because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | |
| | /HUYEN D. LE/ | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 2614 |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments do not overcome the final rejection mailed 05/26/09. The admitted prior art does teach one of the first portion and the second portion of the diaphragm having a cross section as claimed in claim 1. The Applicant should note that the plane of the diaphragm seen from direction D106 of the admitted prior art includes the center axis, wherein the cross section of the one of the first portion and the second portion of the diaphragm is shaped as an arc of a non-circular ellipse (also see page 2, lines 8-10 of the specification).